	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/903,113	HALL, DAVID L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher R. Magee	2653
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	ears on the cover sheet with the of (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication is subject to and MPEP 1308.	correspondence address oplication. If not included n will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. Mathibal This communication is responsive to the amendment filed 01/03/2005.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-7 and 16-18.		
3. The drawings filed on 11 July 2001 are accepted by the Examiner.		
 4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	been received. been received in Application No	·
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this communication to file a reply IENT of this application.	complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	itted. Note the attached EXAMINER es reason(s) why the oath or declara	C'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF ation is deficient.
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.	
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached		
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1, each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in ti	.84(c)) should be written on the drawi	ngs in the front (not the back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL	must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amenda	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The reply filed 01/03/2005 was applied to the following effect: All relevant objections and rejections are withdrawn as being satisfied. Claims 8-15 are cancelled.

Reasons for Allowance

2. Claims 1-7 and 16-18 are allowed (renumbered 1-10, respectively).

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

This application is for a SYSTEM AND METHOD OF INTRODUCING A PREFERENTIAL CURVATURE TO A FLEXIBLE MEDIUM FOR REDUCED MEDIUM VIBRATION AND SENSOR TO MEDIUM SPACING WITH A DISK DRIVE HEAD STACK ASSEMBLY HAVING A NON-ZERO STATIC ROLL ATTITUDE.

• Claim 1 specifies a head stack assembly which requires:

"the first head and the second head substantially parallel to each other and disposed at non-zero static roll angles, θ_a and θ_b , respectively from the plane of the flexible medium."

Jones et al. '085 do not show the first head [11] and second head [12] substantially parallel to each other and disposed at non-zero static roll angles, θ_a and θ_b , respectively from the plane of the flexible medium as taught by the claimed invention.

Gustafson et al. '488 show a single head that is parallel to the flexible medium with a non zero static roll angle.

Souda et al. '839 show a first and second head [20] substantially parallel to each other but

does not teach or suggest the first and second head being disposed at non-zero static roll angles,

 θ_a and θ_b , respectively from the plane of the flexible medium. The heads [20] are disposed at

non-zero dynamic pitch angles during recording/reproducing operations.

Therefore, these features, in combination with other features of claim 1, are not

anticipated by, nor made obvious over, the closest prior art of record of Jones et al. (US

5,636,085), Gustafson et al. (US 5,473,488) and/or Souda et al. (US 6,388,839 B2).

• Claim 16 specifies a method of reducing out-plane- vibration in a flexible medium which

requires:

"angling the first and second heads such that the first and second head remain substantially parallel but offset from the plane of the flexible medium by non-zero

static roll angles of θ_a and θ_b ."

Jones et al. '085 do not show angling the first and second heads such that the first and

second head remain substantially parallel but offset from the plane of the flexible medium by

non-zero static roll angles of θ_a and θ_b as claimed in the present invention.

Gustafson et al. '488 show a single head that is parallel to the flexible medium with a non

zero static roll angle. Gustafson does not teach or suggest angling first and second heads to

remain parallel to each other but offset from the plane of the flexible medium by non-zero roll

angles.

Souda et al. '839 show a first and second head [20] substantially parallel to each other but

does not teach or suggest the first and second head being disposed at non-zero static roll angles,

 θ_a and θ_b , respectively from the plane of the flexible medium. The heads [20] are disposed at

non-zero dynamic pitch angles during recording/reproducing operations.

Therefore, these features, in combination with other features of claim 16, are not

Page 4

anticipated by, nor made obvious over, the closest prior art of record of Jones et al. (US

5,636,085), Gustafson et al. (US 5,473,488) and/or Souda et al. (US 6,388,839 B2).

3. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Magee whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7592. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8: 00 am-5: 30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,113 Page 5

Art Unit: 2653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher R. Magee

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2653

May 30, 2005

WILLIAM KORZUCH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600